	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/900,141	KATAOKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William H. Beisner	1744
All Participants: Status of Application: Amended		
(1) William H. Beisner	(3)	
(2) Matthew M. Jacob.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 19 March 2004	Time: <u>2:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All of record		·
Claims discussed: All of record		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
WH H VI		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Jacob was informed that the response filed 30 Dec.2003 was persuasive to overcome the 35 USC 112, 1st. paragraph, rejections of record. Mr. Jacob was also informed that non-elected claims with traverse were still pending in the application. The Examiner indicated that claim 44 would be rejoined with the allowed claims. Mr. Jacob authorized cancellation of the remaining non-elected claims by Examiner's amendment..